



Transport Advisory Committee

Monday 22 July 2024

Level 6 (Rooms 1 & 2), Inner West Council's Ashfield Service Centre (260 Liverpool Road, Ashfield)

Minutes

Meeting commenced at 6.00pm and concluded 7.40pm

1. Present

Community members	Staff	Councillors
Alan Finlay (Chair)	Sarah Guan	
Col Jones	Ken Welsh	
Graham Hall		
Amanda Watkin		
Sarah Aubrey - On-line		
Ben Cebuliak - On-line		
Dianne Clemens - On-line		

2. Chairperson – Alan Finlay
3. Acknowledgment of Country

The Chair welcomed members to the meeting and provided an Acknowledgement of Country.



4. Apologies: Angelo Arulanandam, Fred Gennaoui

5. Disclosures of Interest:

There has been no change in Members' Disclosures of Interest since the inaugural meeting.

6. Quorum:

Seven out of the current nine Community members were present.

7. Endorse previous meeting minutes:

Endorsed

8. Report on previous meeting actions (if required):

N/A

Discussion items:



Agenda Item	Discussion summary	Proposed action (if required)	Action owner*	Date for action to be finalised
<p>Thanks and Next Steps.</p>	<p>Transport Advisory Committee members were thanked for the invaluable contribution they have made to strategic transport planning initiatives, and other aspects of strategic planning, including their assistance in formulating the structure and scope of many projects such as InnerWest@40, How We Move Why We Move, council’s electric vehicle charging projects and the various public domain master plans.</p> <p>Members were advised that, while this was the final meeting of the current Transport Advisory Committee, potential exists that another committee or similar may be convened with the next council (noting that the various Local Democracy Groups (LDG) terms align with council terms). The LDG process will be a review for all the current LDGs with a report going to the new council proposing future mechanisms for the LDGs. Should this be adopted by council and a decision made to continue an LDG approach there would be a <i>Registration of Interest</i> and all existing members of the Transport Advisory Committee would be emailed to invite them to register interest in joining the new committee. A selection process would the proceed leading to convening of futural LDGs, potentially early in 2025.</p>	<p>It was suggested that it would be beneficial for any future Transport Advisory Committee or similar to have a stronger interface with other committees including the Bicycle Working Group and Planning Committee. An undertaking was given, by staff, that this would be raised in the LDG review process.</p>	<p>NA</p>	<p>NA</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion summary	Proposed action (if required)	Action owner*	Date for action to be finalised
<p>Challenge Questions</p>	<p>Committee members were asked to keep these questions in mind as we progress through the briefings and study reviews.</p> <p><i>Challenge Questions:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>How do we help to improve air quality and reduce road noise in the LGA by facilitating the uptake of electric vehicles and by other measures, including providing electric vehicle charging for residents who do not have off-street parking?</i> 2. <i>Should IWC adopt targets for the percentage of trips by active and public transport, and if so, what should these be?</i> <p><i>Discussion:</i></p> <p>Members generally considered that the Challenge Questions were handy in setting the scene (to have in mind as developing projects and policies) however the main benefit of the Committee was its ability to directly contribute into the development of the various policy strategies and action plans, including draft scopes and draft plans.</p>	<p>Members requested that items and actions raised during their consideration of the Challenge Questions should be incorporated into future council strategies policies and plans</p>	<p>Council</p>	<p>On-going</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion summary	Proposed action (if required)	Action owner*	Date for action to be finalised
	<p>It was also noted that the first question was very car centric and the second question was considered to be in the “too hard basket” and would take a lot of committee resources to accurately determine realistic targets. The second question should be considered for inclusion in the next Integrated Transport Strategy/Plan, based on an evidence base.</p>			

Agenda Item	Discussion summary	Proposed action (if required)	Action owner*	Date for action to be finalised
EV public charging update	<p>Members were provided an update on current status of council’s various EV public charging initiatives including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kerbside public charging - success with The State Government grants means that 136 public kerbside chargers are now on exhibition (until the 11th of August). The results of this consultation will then be presented To Local Traffic Committee and then council for consideration. A second round of grants has recently been announced and council has initiated discussions with its three partner providers, two of which have indicated interest in the new grants. • Car park public charging - the recent Request for Quotation (RfQ) covered 13 council car parks and received 4 responses which are currently being reviewed. • Balmain depot public charging hub - this hub was included as a separate line item within the Car Park RfQ and received 2 responses. Consideration has been given to this in conjunction with the Car Park RfQ review. <p>Discussion: Some members expressed concern over the disparity in distribution of public chargers currently on exhibition, particularly noting the absence of chargers proposed in</p>	<p>council staff will continue to negotiate with there are three partner providers in an effort to ensure equitable distribution of charges across the LGA</p>	<p>Council</p>	<p>ongoing</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion summary	Proposed action (if required)	Action owner*	Date for action to be finalised
	<p>the St Peters – Sydenham – Tempe area and requested that council encourage the providers to review deployment in these areas.</p> <p>It was noted that the new round of kerbside charging grants provides incentives for chargers to be installed in this area.</p> <p>Council staff also pointed out that the deployment currently on exhibition was based on criteria set by the State grant and the business cases of the individual providers.</p>			
<p>Future and On-going Strategic Transport Planning Projects:</p>	<p>Members were provided with an overview of current and anticipated future projects with be provided including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inner West@40 • Sydenham to Bankstown Temporary Transport Plan (S2B TTP) • HIA Master Plans <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Marrickville/Dulwich Hill - Ashfield/Croydon • Public Domain Master Plans <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dulwich Hill - Marrickville 	<p>It was suggested that <i>InnerWest@40</i>:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • should consider inclusion of bus routes and cycle routes • should specifically look at Victoria Street near Cardinal Freeman Lodge for inclusion. 	<p>Council</p>	<p>On-going as polices continue to develop</p>

Agenda Item	Discussion summary	Proposed action (if required)	Action owner*	Date for action to be finalised
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Newtown – Enmore - Rozelle • Public Transport Position Statement • PRCUTS • Parking Strategy • Development Control Plan • Active Transport Studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Haberfield-Ashfield investigation Area - Future Cycling Action Plan - Active Schools Study (Dulwich Hill, Kegworth & Summer Hill) • Integrated Transport Strategy (review) & Action Plan 			
General Business	NA	NA	NA	NA
Future Meetings	No further meetings of this Committee			